



UNITED ST. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

-					•	, AC
L	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR	A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/466,521	12/17/9	9 GAMEL		D	96794DIV1
Γ			QM22/1024 7		EXAMINER	
	MICHAEL C ANTONE				CHANG, R	
	KIRKPATRICK 8 1500 OLIVER 1 PITTSBURGH PA		LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					3729	8
				•	DATE MAILED:	10/24/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

09/466,521

A, Jant(s)

Gamel et al

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Rick Kiltae Chang

Group Art Unit 3729



Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug 14, 2000</u>	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal r in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respon application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of tin 37 CFR 1.136(a).	nd within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by The proposed drawing correction, filed on is The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the prioric received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the Internation*Certified copies not received:	the Examiner. approved disapproved. U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). rity documents have been
\square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Application/Control Number: 09/466,521 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

×

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Upon further consideration, the following restriction requirement is deemed necessary.

The delay in examination is inadvertent.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the 2.

claimed invention:

Species I: Figs. 2-3.

Species II: Figs. 2 and 4.

Species III: Figs. 2 and 5.

Species IV: Figs. 6 and 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held

to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement. An argument that a claim is allowable or

that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims

to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election,

applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/466,521

14dilloc1. 05/400,52

Art Unit: 3729

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

an election of the invention (Species I, II, III, or IV) to be examined even though the

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick Chang whose telephone number is (703) 308-4784.

rc

October 23, 2000

LEEYOUNG
LEEYOUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 3